IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Contempt Petition No. 140 of 2007

1. Dhruv Prasad Sinha S/o Srio Daman Prasad, R/o District Jail, Pauri District Pauri.
2. Jai Prakash Diwedi S/o R/o District Jail Tehri, District Tehri Garhwal.
3. Ved Prakash S/o Late Samay Singh, R/o Sub Jail Roorkee, District Haridwar. ....Petitioners

Vs

Bhaskaranand Joshi S/o not known to the petitioner, Inspector General (Prison), Uttarakhand Shashan, Dehradun. ..Respondent

Sri Sharad Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioners.
Sri Paresh Tripathi, learned brief holder for the State-respondent.

Hon'ble B.S. Verma, J.

Heard.

By means of this contempt petition, learned counsel for the petitioners has prayed to punish the respondents for flouting the order dated 27-11-2006 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No. 1473(S/S) of 2006 Ved Prakash and others Vs. Union of India and others whereby the writ petition was disposed of summarily with the direction that the respondent No.4 shall disposed of the representation made by the petitioners (Seeking their relieving to the State of U.P.) within a period of one month from the date certified copy of this order is produced before him.

Counter affidavit has been filed by the respondent. It has been mentioned in the counter affidavit that the representation of the petitioners has been decided by the respondent vide order dated 2-1-2007, the copy of the order is annexed as Annexure Nos. 1 to 4 to the counter affidavit.

Learned brief holder for the state-respondent made a statement at bar that the order has been complied with.

The contempt petition is dismissed as infructuous.

Notices are hereby discharged

If the petitioners are aggrieved by the order, which has been passed on the representation, the petitioners would be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy before appropriate forum as provided under the law available to the petitioners.

All applications stands disposed of accordingly.

(B.S. Verma, J.)

Dated :- 22-8-2007

M.K.